

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/057,221	BORRELLI ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Dionne Walls Mayes	1731

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Dionne Walls Mayes. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Walter Douglas. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 16 December 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

#### **Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

#### **Part II.**

#### SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

#### **Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner asked if, in claim 1, the limitation "capable of precipitating silver or copper halide" could be deleted from the claim in order to avoid potential confusion in claim recitation. It was agreed to, and such is reflected in the attached Examiner's amendment .